TPE		11-24-02			I
V 2 1 2008 Under the Paper Reduction Act of 1995, no	persons	U.S. Paten are required to respond to a collection	it and '	Trademark O	PTO/SB/21 (01-08) or use through 06/30/2008. OMB 0651-0031 office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE tiless it displays a valid OMB control number.
Ğ/		Application Number		701,146	
TRANSMITTAL FORM		Filing Date	Nov 4, 2003		
		First Named Inventor	Sm	Smith, Jr., Frank C.	
		Art Unit	3644		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Examiner Name	Tien Dinh		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		Attorney Docket Number	501	21	
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)					
Fee Transmittal Form			at ap		After Allowance communication to TC
ree transmittal Form	ᆜ	Drawing(s)			
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
Amendment / Reply		Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
After Final		Petition to Convert to a			Proprietary Information
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation			Status Letter
		Change of Correspondence Address		1	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer		×	below):
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund		ROA	mailed 10-21-08
Information Disclosure Statement		CD, Number of CD(s)			w attachment
		Landscape Table on CD			
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	I Dam				
Reply to Missing Parts/ Reply to Missing Parts/ It is believed that no further request for extension of time or fees are due.					
Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under Notwithstanding, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fee incurred or credit any overage to Deposit Account No.50-1753 (50121). Ple					
37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		this as a further request fo mer Account Number 2292		ension of	time to the extent one is needed.
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm Name Shaper tier LLP					
Signature					
Printed name Sue Z. Shaper					
Date November 21, 2008				Reg. No.	31663
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING					
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient					
postage as Express Mail # EM 225839051 in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450					

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Arry comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date

November 21, 2008

In you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Signature

Typed or printed name

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

oplicant(s): Smith, Jr.

Application No.: 10/701,146

Filed: 11/4/2003

Title: Cargo Oriented Aircraft

Art Unit: 3644

Examiner:

Tien Dinh

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Attorney Docket No.: 50121

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION Made Final Mailed 10-21-08

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action of October 21, 2008, please amend this application as follows: In the claims:

As per the attached claim amendment sheet.

REMARKS

Request that finality of prior Action be removed

Applicant respectfully requests that the finality of the above referenced Action, mailed 10/21/2008, be removed as premature. Page 3 of the Action, third paragraph, contains a new ground of rejection, and is based upon a new reference. The new ground of rejection was not necessitated by Applicant's amendment.

Re Amendment to Claim 2 – Not Substantive

Dependent claim 2 had recited yaw control surfaces on the "wing." Claim 1 had referred, however, to a "larger lifting surface" rather than a "wing," (Paragraph 0010, last sentence, recites that: "the larger horizontal lifting surface is referred to as the wing.") Applicant amended "the wing" in claim 2, nonetheless, to "the larger lifting surface" for consistency of antecedent basis. Such was not a substantive matter. The amendment did not necessitate the new ground of rejection.

The New Ground of Rejection Relates to a Prior Omission of Any Basis for Rejection

Rather, the new ground of rejection, stated on page 3 third paragraph, rejects claim 2 over Rutan '800 in view of Rutan ATTT and further in view of Burnelli. Reference Burnelli is newly applied in regard to the limitation of yaw control surfaces on the larger lifting surface, the limitation of claim 2. The Examiner states that, in order to definitely show yaw control surfaces on the larger lifting surface, a citation to Burnelli 1,987,050 is necessary.